



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,681	08/05/2003	Keiichi Yoshioka	R2184.0247/P247	6878
²⁴⁹⁹⁸ DICKSTEIN S	7590 03/22/2007 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403			WOJCIECHOWICZ, EDWARD JOSEPH	
wasnington, D	C 20000-3403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/633,681	YOSHIOKA, KEIICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward Wojciechowicz	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED IN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12-28-06					
	This action is non-final.					
, <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13,16 and 17 is/are pending in	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,16 and 17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		ication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application				
C Outside of College		·				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant's arguments concerning the metal layers of the invention have been carefully considered, however, are not persuasive. Regardless of how the individual metal layers shown in applicant's FIG. 2 are characterized, that is, whether wiring layer M2 is part of the heat conduction part (33), or not, the claim recitation remains indefinite because, as presently constructed, the metal wiring layers of the heat conduction part (33) will indeed transmit a signal to other elements because they are electrically connected to wiring layer M2. Thus, contrary to the claim recitation, it appears that they may transmit a signal to other elements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13, 16 and 17 are further rejected, insofar as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al, of record, in view of Shimada et al (6,353,189). The explanation of the relevance of the Yamazaki reference is hereby incorporated by reference from the previous actions. In addition to the features of the invention taught by Yamazaki, Shimada is cited to further

Art Unit: 2815

illustrate a structure of metal wiring layers which are connected by conductive via layers and in which

some layers are shielded so as prevent unwanted interference, etc.

To the extent the inventive structure is understood, it appears that these references show all of

the structural features of the invention. One skilled in the art would be motivated to combine these

references in order to achieve reduced interference between adjacent devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Edward Wojciechowicz whose telephone number is 571-272-1739. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken

Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Edward Wojciechowicz Primary Examiner

Art Hait 2015

Art Unit 2815

EW: ew